

To repeat what a Local user has said which is true and the CRTC needs to put a stop to this. Open up all services including fiber to the home at fair competitive prices to TPIA's !

QUESTION 2. Competition, Innovation, and Affordability

2.1 Are legislative changes warranted to better promote competition, innovation, and affordability?

As of the most recent iteration of hearings, Bell is demanding \$104/month for mere access to its fibre internet network by TPIAs. This does not account for data charges or profit margins. Yet, somehow Bell manages to offer RETAIL residential fibre service to the condominium I live in for the following prices in Vaughan, Ontario...

»www.bell.ca/Bell_Internet_access
Website accessed 2018/11/01

1.5G/940M 109.95/month

1G/750M 99.95/month

50M/50M 94.95/month

25M/25M 79.95/month

Either Bell is engaging in extremely predatory pricing, or else they have been less than truthful in their calculations of \$104/month for mere access to their network. But wait... there's more. Bell has a wholly-owned subsidiary, Virgin Mobile. This subsidiary can access Bell's fibre network, and offer RETAIL residential fibre access, with speed profiles shaped to resemble FTTN, like so...

»www.virginmobile.ca/en/internet.html
Website accessed 2018/11/01

25/10 \$45/month

50/10 \$60/month

100/10 \$70/month

Virgin Mobile has an unfair advantage in that they can do this on Bell fibre, while other TPIAs are required to pay \$100+ per month for mere access.

This is important, because many new residences (single and multiple dwellings) are now being built with fibre-only internet/phone/TV connections, and no legacy copper wires. Given the difference between what Bell wants from TPIAs, and what it charges retail as Bell and as Virgin, something smells.;

Name: Bryan;